

Qualitative Data Analysis Grading Rubric | BUSI815_B02_202130

Criteria	Ratings				Points
Content - QDA Elements and Length	<p>25 to >23.0 pts</p> <p>Advanced</p> <p>The submission is between 8-15 pages of content. The submission includes content addressing the following clearly identified sections: Introduction, Procedures, Anticipated Ethical Issues, and Emergent Theme Analysis.</p>	<p>23 to >21.0 pts</p> <p>Proficient</p> <p>The submission does not fall within the parameters of the required length. The submission includes content addressing the following sections: Introduction, Procedures, Anticipated Ethical Issues, and Emergent Theme Analysis.</p>	<p>21 to >0.0 pts</p> <p>Developing</p> <p>The submission does not fall within the parameters of the required length. The submission does not include content addressing the following sections: Introduction, Procedures, Anticipated Ethical Issues, and Emergent Theme Analysis.</p>	<p>0 pts</p> <p>Not Present</p>	25 pts
Content - Introduction, Procedures, & Anticipated Ethical Issues	<p>40 to >37.0 pts</p> <p>Advanced</p> <p>Content provided for each component is appropriate for qualitative research, aligns with the selected design, and is well-supported. The proposed approach for handling ethical issues is supported by scripture.</p>	<p>37 to >34.0 pts</p> <p>Proficient</p> <p>Content provided for each component is appropriate for qualitative research but is not fully aligned with the design and needs further support. The proposed approach for handling ethical issues is presented, but may not be well-supported by scripture.</p>	<p>34 to >0.0 pts</p> <p>Developing</p> <p>Content provided for each component is not appropriate for qualitative research, fails to align with the selected design, lacking support. The approach for handling ethical issues is not addressed.</p>	<p>0 pts</p> <p>Not Present</p>	40 pts
Content - Emergent Theme Analysis & Discussion	<p>40 to >37.0 pts</p> <p>Advanced</p> <p>The theme analysis and discussion includes identification, description/meaning, and evidence of each emergent theme for each data set. The collective themes are presented and supported with evidence and are compared/contrasted with the content from the literature review.</p>	<p>37 to >34.0 pts</p> <p>Proficient</p> <p>Parts of the following sections are incomplete or lacking support: The theme analysis and discussion includes identification, description/meaning, and evidence of each emergent theme for each data set. The collective themes are presented and supported with evidence and are compared/contrasted with the content from the literature review.</p>	<p>34 to >0.0 pts</p> <p>Developing</p> <p>The theme analysis and discussion does not include identification, description/meaning, and evidence of each emergent theme for each data set. The collective themes are not presented and supported with evidence and are not compared/contrasted with the content from the literature review.</p>	<p>0 pts</p> <p>Not Present</p>	40 pts

Qualitative Data Analysis Grading Rubric | BUSI815_B02_202130

Criteria	Ratings				Points
Format	<p>45 to >41.0 pts</p> <p>Advanced</p> <p>The paper adheres to current APA format without errors including a title page, abstract, citations (parenthetical or in-text), reference page, and use of current APA headings.</p>	<p>41 to >38.0 pts</p> <p>Proficient</p> <p>1 or more of the below criteria are not met: The paper adheres to current APA format without errors including a title page, abstract, citations (parenthetical or in-text), reference page, and use of current APA headings.</p>	<p>38 to >0.0 pts</p> <p>Developing</p> <p>2 or more of the below criteria are not met: The paper adheres to current APA format without errors including a title page, abstract, citations (parenthetical or in-text), reference page, and use of current APA headings.</p>	<p>0 pts</p> <p>Not Present</p>	45 pts
Total Points: 150					